- D. Service Awards. Plaintiffs will request Service Awards of not more than \$5,000 each. Such Service Awards will be paid from the Settlement Amount as described in this Agreement. Defendant will not object to amounts sought for such awards. The parties recognize that the Court may decide that different amounts are appropriate as Service Awards. Any such alternative amounts will be acceptable to the parties, provided that all such amounts must be paid solely from the Settlement Amount. The Claims Administrator will pay the Service Awards directly to the persons referenced in paragraph 2.1.R within 10 calendar days after receipt of the Settlement Amount from Defendant.
- E. Payment of Settlement Expenses. The charges and costs incurred by the Claims Administrator in performing its duties under this Agreement, estimated to be approximately \$200,000, will be paid solely from the Settlement Amount. The Claims Administrator will receive payment for such costs no later than 10 calendar days after receipt of the Settlement Amount from Defendant. Disputes of any kind relating to the Claims Administrator will be resolved pursuant to the dispute resolution procedures set forth in section 2.13., if they cannot be resolved informally by the parties. The Claims Administrator will regularly report to the parties, in written form, the substance of the work performed, including all amounts paid under this Agreement.
- F. Approval of fees and costs. The recovery of Plaintiffs' Attorney's Fees and Litigation Expenses and Service Awards for Named Plaintiffs will be decided by the Court. Any order relating to the award of Plaintiffs' Attorney's Fees, Litigation Expenses, or Service Awards, or any appeal from any order relating thereto or reversal or modification thereof, will not operate to nullify this Agreement or delay the finality of the final order approving settlement. To the extent that the Court does not approve the full amount of Plaintiffs' Attorney's Fees, Litigation Expenses, or Service Awards, the non-approved amounts will be distributed to all Authorized Claimants on a pro rata basis.

1	G. Any settlement check that is mailed to an Authorized Claimant, but
2	is not presented for payment within 180 calendar days of the mailing date of the check,
3	will be deposited, pursuant to section 384 of the California Code of Civil Procedure,
4	into Children's Miracle Network and National Employment Law Project, cy pres funds
5	that each party has selected. Such unclaimed amounts will be divided equally between
6	the two cy pres funds.
7	2.13 <u>Dispute Procedure</u>
8	Except as otherwise set forth herein, all disputes concerning the interpretation,
9	implementation, calculation, or payment of the Settlement Amount or other disputes
10	regarding compliance with this Agreement will be resolved by retired United States
11	Magistrate Edward A. Infante, who will remain the mediator in the settlement of the
12	instant action and will have authority to resolve such disputes.
13	2.14 Notices
14	Unless otherwise specifically provided herein, all notices, demands, or other
15	communications given hereunder will be in writing and will be deemed to have been
16	duly given as of the fifth day after mailing by first class mail, addressed as follows:
17	To Class Counsel for Plaintiffs:
18	Guy B. Wallace, Esq. SCHNEIDER WALLACE COTTREL BRAYTON KONECKY LLP
19	180 Montgomery Street, Suite 2000 San Francisco, CA 94104
20	Tel: (415) 421-7100/Fax: (415) 421-7105 Email: gwallace@schneiderwallace.com
21	Email. gwanaeca/seimeiderwanaec.com
22	To Counsel for Defendant: Joel M. Cohn, Esq.
23	Michael S. McIntosh, Esq.
24	AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP 1333 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W.
25	Washington, DC 20036-1564 Tel.: (202) 887-4000 / Fax: (202) 887-4288
26	Email: jcohn@akingump.com; mmcintosh@akingump.com
27	

2.15 <u>Authorization</u>

Infante.

Plaintiffs' Attorneys warrant and represent that they are fully authorized by Plaintiffs, and the attorneys for Defendant warrant and represent that they are fully authorized by Defendant, to take all appropriate action required or permitted by this Agreement. The parties will cooperate and use their best efforts to implement this Agreement. If the parties are unable to reach agreement on the form or content of any

section 2.13. above, to seek the assistance of retired United States Magistrate Edward A.

document needed to implement this Agreement, the parties agree, in accordance with

2.16 Jurisdiction

Subject to the Court's approval, the Court will have continuing jurisdiction to resolve any dispute which may arise with regard to the terms and conditions of this Agreement that retired United States Magistrate Judge Edward A. Infante is unable to resolve. References to the Court also include any other courts that take jurisdiction of the settled actions, or any to whom the Court has referred the matter.

2.17 Modification

This Agreement, and any of its parts, may be amended, modified, or waived only by an express written instrument signed by all parties or their successors-in-interest.

2.18 Successors

This Agreement will be binding upon, and inure to the benefit of, the successors of the parties.

2.19 California Law And Interpretation

All terms of this Agreement and its exhibits will be governed and interpreted by and according to the laws of the State of California, without giving effect to any conflict of law principles or choice of law principles. However, if the Court determines that the release of claims in section 2.9. above is unenforceable, for whatever reason, this entire Agreement will become null and void *ab initio*.

--

2.20 Representation By Counsel

The parties have each been represented by counsel and have cooperated in the preparation of this Agreement. This Agreement will not be construed against any party based on the role of the party in preparing this Agreement.

2.21 Counterparts

This Agreement may be executed in one or more counterparts. All executed counterparts and each of them will be deemed to be one and the same instrument. Any executed counterpart will be admissible in evidence to prove the existence and contents of this Agreement.

2.22 Incorporation Of Exhibits

All exhibits attached hereto are incorporated by reference and are a material part of this Agreement. Any notice, order, judgment, or other exhibit that requires approval of the Court must be approved without material alteration from its current form in order for this Agreement to become effective.

2.23 Reasonableness Of Settlement

The parties believe that this is a fair, reasonable, and adequate settlement and have arrived at this settlement through arms-length negotiations, taking into account all relevant factors, present and potential.

2.24 Headings

The headings contained in this stipulation of settlement are for reference only and are not to be construed as a part of the Agreement.

2.25 No Prior Assignments

The parties represent, covenant, and warrant that they have not directly or indirectly, assigned or transferred to any person or entity any portion of any liability, claim, demand, action, cause of action, or rights herein released and discharged except as set forth herein.

4 5

2.26 <u>Signatories</u>

The signatories listed below will execute this Agreement. The parties agree that the classes are so numerous that it is impractical to have each class member execute this Agreement. The Notice of Settlement will advise members of the Settlement Classes of the binding nature of the Agreement on each Settlement Class Member and explain the process for requesting exclusion from the settlement.

2.27 Binding On Assigns

This Agreement will be binding upon, and inure to the benefit of, the parties, and their respective heirs, trustees, executors, successors, legal administrators, and assigns.

2.28 No Prevailing Party

No party will be considered a prevailing party for any purpose. This provision, however, shall have no effect on Plaintiffs' Attorneys' right to an award of Plaintiffs' Attorney's Fees as set forth in this Agreement.

2.29 Public Comment

- A. The parties agree that they will not publicize this settlement or the events and negotiations surrounding the Agreement in any way prior to the Effective Date. After that time, the parties agree that they will acknowledge only that: "The action was resolved on a satisfactory basis." In addition, Plaintiffs' Attorneys will be permitted to list the settlement on their websites.
- B. In the event that any of the parties believe a statement has been made that violates section 2.29.A., counsel for the parties will meet and confer informally in an effort to resolve the dispute. If any such dispute cannot be resolved informally, it will be submitted to retired United States Magistrate Judge Edward A. Infante in accordance with section 2.13. above. In the event that Magistrate Infante determines that a statement violates this provision, the parties agree that such a determination will be binding upon the offending party and the offending party must immediately cease and desist from making the statement or statements. Additionally, the parties agree that

if a dispute concerning section 2.29.A. is referred to Magistrate Infante, the prevailing party will be entitled to an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs. The parties also agree, however, that the prevailing party will not be entitled to any other monetary relief.

2.30 Return Of Discovery

Plaintiffs agree to return to Defendant or destroy all discovery obtained from Defendant during the course of the instant action, as well as the related actions of *Johnson v. RGIS Inventory Specialists*, No. 1:05-cv-00389 (E.D. Tex. 2005), *Davidson v. RGIS Inventory Specialists*, No. 1:06-cv-0681-MC (E.D. Tex. 2005), and *Rogers v. RGIS*, No. 0506-06727 (Or. Cir. Ct.), within 60 calendar days of the Effective Date. This includes all copies of such discovery, whether in hardcopy or electronic format. Plaintiffs will notify Defendant in writing if they elect to destroy discovery. Plaintiffs agree not to send or otherwise disseminate discovery to any other individual or entity before its return or destruction.

2.31 Waiver Of Right To Object

By signing this Agreement, Plaintiffs agree to be bound by its terms. Named Plaintiffs agree not to request to be excluded from the settlement and not to object to any of the terms of the settlement. Any request for exclusion by Named Plaintiffs or any objection by Named Plaintiffs will be void and have no force and effect. Likewise, Defendant agrees to be bound by the terms of this settlement and agrees not to object to any of the terms of the settlement. Non-compliance by Defendant with this paragraph will be void and have no force and effect.

2.32 Dismissal

Upon entry of a final order approving this Agreement, Plaintiffs will dismiss the instant action with prejudice.

1 2.33 Entire Agreement

After this Agreement is fully executed by all parties, it will constitute the entire Agreement of the parties. No oral representations, warranties, inducements, or writings have been made by any party concerning this Agreement, other than those expressly stated herein.

[THIS SPACE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

Case Nos. 3:06-cv-05778 JCS & 3:07-cv-00032 JCS

1	WITNESS HEREOF, the parties have caused this Agreement to be executed by their
2	duly authorized attorneys:
3	1:1-17
4	Dated: July 8, 2010 By Noha Den
5	Trisha Wren Plaintiff
6	
7	Dated: July, 2010 By
8	Dated: July, 2010 ByCynthia Piper Plaintiff
10	
11	Dated: July, 2010 By Kevin Barnes
12	Plaintiff
13	
14	Dated: July, 2010 By
15	Kimberly Cassara Plaintiff
16	*
17	Dated: July, 2010 By Lisa Cunningham-Gibson
18	Lisa Cunningham-Gibson Plaintiff
19	
20	Dated: July, 2010 By
21	Margaret Cruz Boze Plaintiff
22 23	
24	Dated: July, 2010 By
25	Kathlene Feige Plaintiff
26	A Indiana.
27	
28	30 STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT
	Case Nos. 3:06-cv-05778 JCS & 3:07-cv-00032 JCS

1	WITNESS HEREOF, the par	ties have caused this Agreement to be executed by their
2	duly authorized attorneys:	8
3		
4	Dated: July, 2010	Ву
5		Trisha Wren Plaintiff
6		7.
7 8	Dated: July <u>9</u> , 2010	By Cynthia Pyper Cynthia Piper
9		Plaintiff
10		
11	Dated: July, 2010	By Kevin Barnes
12	ø	Plaintiff
13		2
14	Dated: July, 2010	Ву
15		Kimberly Cassara Plaintiff
16		
17	Dated: July, 2010	By
18		Lisa Cunningham-Gibson Plaintiff
19	٠	1 141111111
20	Dated: July <u>\$\frac{9}{2}\$</u> , 2010	But layer I Charles
21	24444, 744, 744, 744	Margaret Cruz Boze
22		Plaintiff
23	Dated Tales 2010	
24	Dated: July, 2010	By Kathlene Feige
25 26		Plaintiff
26	3	
27 28		30.
20	Case	STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT Nos. 3:06-cv-05778 JCS & 3:07-cv-00032 JCS
		11 FW P1

1	WITNESS HEREOF, th	e parties have caused this Agreement to be executed by their
2	duly authorized attorney	s:
3		
4	Dated: July, 2010	By
5		Trisha Wren Plaintiff
6		
7	Dated: July, 2010	Ву
8	P management	By Cynthia Piper Plaintiff
9	a	
10	Dated: July 9, 2010	De la Francisco
11	Dated. July 1, 2010	Kevin Barnes
12		Plaintiff
13	15 May 14 00000 10 10	•
14	Dated: July, 2010	By Kimberly Cassara
15		Plaintiff
16		
17 18	Dated: July, 2010	By Lisa Cunningham-Gibson
18		Plaintiff
20		
21	Dated: July 2010	By
22	4	Margaret Cruz Boze Plaintiff
23		
24	Dated: July, 2010	Ву
25		Kathlene Feige Plaintiff
26		T IGHTETIT
27		
28		30 STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT
		Case Nos. 3:06-ey-05778 JCS & 3:07-ey-00032 JCS
	i	

1	WE'CKIE'CS FIEDUANE do now!	as how a consess this A agreement to be accounted by their
2	duly authorized attorneys:	es have caused this Agreement to be executed by their
3	duly authorized altorneys.	
4	Dated: July, 2010	D.,
5	Januar Jary , 2010	ByTrisha Wren
6		Plaintiff
7		
8	Dated: July , 2010	By Cynthia Piper
9		Plaintiff
10		
11	Dated: July, 2010	By
12		Kevin Barnes Plaintiff
13		- Limber Alason
14	Dated: July +, 2010	13y 1421/11/10 C
15		Kimberly Cassara Plaintiff
16		
17	Dated: July , 2010	By
18	Common and James	Lisa Cunningham-Gibson
19		Plaintiff
20	2000	
21	Dated: July 2010	By Margaret Cruz Boze
22		Plaintiff
23		
24	Dated: July , 2010	By Kathan Palan
25		Kathlene Feige Plaintiff
26		
27.		20
28	Case N	30 STIPOLATION OF SITTLEMENT Ros. 3:06-ev-05778 JCS & 3:07-ev-00032 JCS
	11	

1	WITNESS HEREOF, the partie	es have caused this Agreement to be executed by their
2	duly authorized attorneys:	
3		
4	Dated: July, 2010	By
5		Plaintiff
6		
7	Dated: July, 2010	By
8		Cynthia Piper Plaintiff
9		
10	Dated: July, 2010	By
11		Kevin Barnes Plaintiff
12		Litatum
13	2010	T.
14	Dated: July, 2010	By Kimberly Cassara
15		Plaintiff
16	,,	By Land Compingham Copson
17	Dated: July _3 2010	By Lisa Cunningham-Sibson
18		Plaintiff
19		
2021	Dated: July, 2010	By Margaret Cruz Boze
		Margaret Cruz Boze Plaintiff
2223		
23 24	Dated: July, 2010	By
2 4 25	Date of 1000	Kathlene Feige Plaintiff
26		# VIII-
27	il en	
28]}	30 STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT
		STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT Non-2-06 by 05'778 ICS & 3-07-cy-00032 ICS

1	WITNESS HEREOF, the pa	rties have caused this Agreement to be execut	ed by their
2	duly authorized attorneys:		
3	the second second		
4	Dated: July, 2010	By	
5		Trisha Wren Plaintiff	
6			
7	Dated: July, 2010	P _v	
8		Cynthia Piper	
9		Plaintiff	
10			
11	Dated: July, 2010	By Kevin Barnes	
12		Plaintiff	
13			
14	Dated: July, 2010	By	
15		Kimberly Cassara Plaintiff	
16			
17	Dated: July, 2010	By	
18		Lisa Cunningham-Gibson Plaintiff	
19		Plamin	
20	D . 1 . 1 . 2010		
21	Dated: July, 2010	By Margaret Cruz Boze	
22		Plaintiff	
23			
24	Dated: July <u>/ 3</u> , 2010	By	
25	·	Kathlene Feige Plaintiff	
26			
27			
28	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	30 STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT	·
		NY 2.06 05770 100 0.2-07 00022 100	

Case Nos. 3:06-cv-05778 JCS & 3:07-cv-00032 JCS

Case3:06-cv-05778-JCS Document841-2 Filed07/28/10 Page14 of 30

Dated: July, 2010	By Norma Garcia Plaintiff
	1 januar
Dated: July 3 , 2010	By Melanie Manos Melanie Manos
	Plaintiff
Dated: July, 2010	By Margaret Martinez Plaintiff
Dated: July, 2010	By Michelle Pease
	Plaintiff
Dated: July, 2010	By Cheryl Pierson Plaintiff
	1 Iomini
Dated: July, 2010	By
Dated: July, 2010	By
Dated: July, 2010	By Tammy Schnars Plaintiff
	31 STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT

1 2 3	Dated: July, 2010	By Norma Garcia Plaintiff
4 5 6	Dated: July, 2010	By Melanie Manos Plaintiff
7 8 9	Dated: July 3, 2010	By Margaret Markeney Margaret Martinez Plaintiff
11 12 13	Dated: July, 2010	By Michelle Pease Plaintiff
14 15 16	Dated: July, 2010	ByCheryl Pierson Plaintiff
17 18 19 20	Dated: July, 2010	By
20 21 22 23	Dated: July, 2010	By Tephine Saites Plaintiff
24 25 26	Dated: July, 2010	By Tammy Schnars Plaintiff
27 28		STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT Case Nos. 3:06-cv-05778 JCS & 3:07-cv-00032 JCS
ale	32	

Dated: July, 2010 By	rma Garcia intiff clanic Manos intiff argaret Martinez intiff Classe chelle Pease intiff
Dated: July, 2010 By	elanie Manos intiff argaret Martinez intiff Aclud Geast chelle Pease
Dated: July, 2010 By	elanie Manos intiff argaret Martinez intiff Aclud Geast chelle Pease
Dated: July, 2010 By	elanie Manos intiff rgaret Martinez intiff Aclus Geast chelle Pease
Dated: July, 2010 By	intiff argaret Martinez intiff Archae Geast chelle Pease
Dated: July, 2010 By	intiff argaret Martinez intiff Archae Geast chelle Pease
Dated: July, 2010 By	intiff argaret Martinez intiff Archae Geast chelle Pease
Dated: July 8, 2010 By 11. Mi Pla	Ichel Geast
Dated: July 8, 2010 By 11. Mi Pla	Ichel Geast
Dated: July 8, 2010 By 10 Mi Pla	Ichel Geast
Mi Pla	chelle Pease
Mi Pla	chelle Pease
Mi Pla	chelle Pease
	uncii
Dated: July, 2010 By	
Dated: July, 2010 By	
ll Ch	eryl Pierson
Pla	aintiff
9	
Dated: July, 2010 By	0. D
Sai Pla	lly Rosenthal aintiff
Dated: July, 2010 By	98,999, 98
Ter	phine Saites aintiff
r is	3111111
2010	
Dated: July, 2010 By	mmy Schnars
Pla	aintíff
*	21
STIPULATI	31 ION OF SETTLEMENT 1778 JCS & 3:07-cv-00032 JCS

		Ï
,		
1 2	Dated: July, 2010	Norma Garcia
3		Plaintiff
4		
5	Dated: July, 2010	By Melanie Manos
6		Plaintiff
7		
8	Dated: July, 2010	By
9		Plaintiff
10		
11	Dated: July, 2010	By Michelle Pease
12	*	Michelle Pease Plaintiff
13		$A \circ Q$
14	Dated: July 7, 2010	By Clark-
15 16		Cheryl Pierson Plaintiff
17		
18	Dated: July, 2010	Bv
19	Dated. July	By
20) 16811E#197
21	Dated: July, 2010	By
22	Dated. July, 2010	Tephine Saites Plaintiff
23		Limititi
24	2010	The control of the co
25	Dated: July, 2010	Tammy Schnars
26		Plaintiff
27	. II t	31
28		STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT Case Nos. 3:06-cv-05778 JCS & 3:07-cv-00032 JCS
	90	e e
	1	

in the state of th		
Dated: July, 2010	Ву	
To experience ?	Norma Garcia	
	Plaintiff	
Dated: July, 2010	Ву	
H H	Melanie Manos Plaintiff	
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	A AVERABLEA	
		88
Dated: July, 2010	By Manufact Martinary	
* " 8 =	Margaret Martinez Plaintiff	:*:
Thetad. Index 2010	The control of the co	52
Dated: July, 2010	By Michelle Pease	
	Plaintiff	
<u></u>	The first of the second of the	
Dated: July, 2010	By	
	Cheryl Pierson	
	Plaintiff	
· / / / /	$A \cap A \cap A$	X E
Dated: July2010	BY DOUM KONLYNY	l.
	Sally Rosenthal Plaintiff	5211
		8)
Dated: July, 2010	By	
	Tephine Saites Plaintiff	
Dated: July , 2010	By	
ACCOUNT OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	Tammy Schnars	8
	Plaintiff	
	31 Stipleation of Settlement	
Case	Nos. 3:06-ev-05778 JCS & 3:07-ev-00032 JCS	10

	*		
1 2 3 4 5	Dated: July, 2010 Dated: July, 2010	By Norma Garcia Plaintiff By Melanie Manos	ð
6 7		Plaintiff	
9	Dated: July, 2010	By Margaret Martinez Plaintiff	
10 11	e e		
12 13	Dated: July, 2010	Michelle Pease Plaintiff	
14 15 16 17	Dated: July, 2010	By Cheryl Pierson Plaintiff	
18 19	Dated: July, 2010	By	
20 21 22 23	Dated: July <u>8</u> , 2010	By Teppine Saites Plaintiff	75 (6)
24 25 26	Dated: July, 2010	By Tammy Schnars Plaintiff	
27 28	41 - 1 - ALL-ABBUMAN A MAYA	31	······································
		STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT Case Nos. 3:06-ev-05778 JCS & 3:07-ev-00032 JCS	
- 11			44

	III. and the state of the state		
1 2 3	Dated: July, 20	010 By Norma Garcia Plaintiff	19
4 5 6	Dated: July, 20	010 By Melanie Manos Plaintiff	
7 8 9	Dated: July, 2	010 By Margaret Martinez Plaintiff	
10 11 12 13	Dated: July, 2	010 By Michelle Pease Plaintiff	
14 15 16	Dated: July , 2	Cheryl Pierson Plaintiff	
17 18 19	Dated: July, 2		¥
20 21 22 23	Dated: July , 2	2010 By	
24 25 26	Dated: July 8, 2	2010 By MMMA CANAL Taking Schwars Plaintiff	
27 28	PRINCE CONTRACTOR CONT	31 STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT Case Nos. 3:06-cv-05778 JCS & 3:07-cv-00032 JCS	
	SERVICIAN AND CONTRACTOR AND CONTRAC	*	9

Dates: Jul 1 2010	De Rabicka Shelitaini Pleiniff
Dared: July, 2010	By Victoria Thempson Plaintiff
Deted: July, 2010	By Nicose Verhick Plaintiff
Dated: July 2010	ily Uren(Whiman Plaimiff
Dated: July, 2010	By Guy B. Wallace SCHNEIDER WALLACE COTTRELL BRAYTON KONFICKY LLP ARODREYS for Plaintiffs
December July , 2010	Ry David Borgen GOLDSTEIN, DEMCHAK, BALLER, BORGEN & DARDARIAN Altimocys for Pleinliffs
Daied: July , 2010	Programmider URALLY SCHNEIDER Autorneys for Pla mills
	22 Seneration Statement Sense 1777 Hill & 2004 (1977 F.X

1	
Dated: July, 2010	By Rabecka Sheldranti Plaintiff
Dated: July 39 2010	By Victora Thompson
Daiou: 5417 <u>276,</u> 2010	Victoria Thompson Plaintiff
Dated: July, 2010	By Nicole Verbick Plaintiff
Dated: July, 2010	Ву
	Brent Whitman Plaintiff
Dated: July, 2010	By Guy B. Wallace SCHNEIDER WALLACE COTTRELL BRAYTON KONECKY LLP Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Dated: July, 2010	By
Dated: July, 2010	ByPeter Schneider
	GRADY SCHNEIDER Attorneys for Plaintiffs
	32 Stipulation of Settlement
	Dated: July, 2010

Case Nos. 3:06-cv-05778 JCS & 3:07-cv-00032 JCS

Dated: July, 2010 By	
2 Dated: July, 2010 By Rabecka Sheldranti	2
2 Dated: July, 2010 By Rabecka Sheldranti	i
Rabecka Sheldranti	
Plaintiff	
3 4	
5 Dated: July, 2010 By Victoria Thompson Plaintiff	
7	*
8 Dated: July Z, 2010 By	3
9 Nicole Verbick Plaintiff	ě
10	
11 Dated: July, 2010 By	
Dated: July, 2010 By	
13	
14 Dated: July, 2010 By	
Guy B. Wallace	י דידים
BRAYTON KONECKY LLP	DIAL
Attorneys for Plaintiffs 18	
10	
David Borgen	
21 BORGEN & DARDARIAN	EK,
22 Attorneys for Plaintiffs	et .
23	
Dated: July, 2010 By Peter Schneider	61
GRADY SCHNEIDER Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
26	
27	
28 STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT Case Nos. 3:06-ev-05778 JCS & 3:07-ev-00032 JCS	

	Case3:06-cv-05778-JCS Docur	ment841-2 Filed07/28/10 Page25 of 30
1 2	Dated: July, 2010	By Rabecka Sheldranti
3		Plaintiff
4		
5	Dated: July, 2010	Ву
6		Victoria Thompson Plaintiff
7		
8	Dated: July, 2010	3y
9		Nicole Verbick
10		Plaintiff
11	Detect. Intr. / 2 2010	Pala a back of and
12	Dated: July 1 2010	By John Claiming Brent Whitman Successory Lo
13		Plaintiff ende of Brend Ordine
14		
15	Dated: July, 2010 E	Guy B. Wallace
16		SCHNEIDER WALLACE COTTRELL
17		BRAYTON KONECKY LLP Attorneys for Plaintiffs
18		
19	Dated: July, 2010	By
20		David Borgen GOLDSTEIN, DEMCHAK, BALLER,
21		BORGEN & DARDARIAN
22		Attorneys for Plaintiffs
23	D . 1 . X 1	
24	Dated: July, 2010	Peter Schneider
25		GRADY SCHNEIDER Attorneys for Plaintiffs
26		Audineys for Framiums
27		
28	STE	JII ATION OF SETTLEMENT

	Dated: July, 2010	Ву
2		Rabecka Sheldranti
	•	Plaintiff
e B	Dated: July, 2010	By
		Victoria Thompson Plaintiff
The state of the s	Dated: July, 2010	
The state of the s	Dated. July, 2010	By Nicole Verbick
	a p	Plaintiff
11		¥
	Dated: July, 2010	By
		Brent Whitman Plaintiff
	a	
	Dated: July _7 , 2010	By Gey B. Wallace DR
	Dated: July _/_, 2010	Guy B. Wallace
		SCHNEIDER WALLACE COTTRELL BRAYTON KONECKY LLP
		Attorneys for Plaintiffs
The Charles		
	Dated: July 9, 2010	By \
		David Borgen
The same of the same		GOLDSTEIN, DEMCHAK, BALLER, BORGEN & DARDARIAN
. I a constant	725 A	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
	3	
	Dated: July, 2010	By
	¥	Peter Schneider GRADY SCHNEIDER
-		Attorneys for Plaintiffs
		32
=	2	STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT

STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT
Case Nos. 3:06-cv-05778 JCS & 3:07-cv-00032 JCS

1 2 3	Dated: July, 2010	By Rabecka Sheldranti Plaintiff
4 5 6	Dated: July, 2010	By
7 8 9 10	Dated: July, 2010	By Nicole Verbick Plaintiff
11 12 13	Dated: July, 2010	By Brent Whitman Plaintiff
14 15 16 17	Dated: July, 2010	By Guy B. Wallace SCHNEIDER WALLACE COTTRELL BRAYTON KONECKY LLP Attorneys for Plaintiffs
18 19 20 21	Dated: July, 2010	By
22 23 24 25 26	Dated: July 2, 2010	By Peter Schneider GRADY SCHNEIDER Attorneys for Plaintiffs
27 28	Casi	32 STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT Nos. 3:06-cv-05778 JCS & 3:07-cv-00032 JCS

Dated: July , 2010	Jose R. Mata BAILEY PINNEY PC Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Dated: July, 2010	Jeffrey M. Brinza Secretary and General Counsel Defendant, RGIS LLC (erroneously sued as RGIS Inventory Specialists, Inc.)
Dated: July, 2010	Joel M. Cohn AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP Attorneys for defendant, RGIS LLC (erroneously sued as RGIS Inventory Specialists, Inc.)
	33
	STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT
Case No	os. 3:06-cv-05778 JCS & 3:07-cv-00032 JCS

Case3:06-cv-05778-JCS Document841-2 Filed07/28/10 Page28 of 30

men Dated: July ____, 2010 2 Jose R. Mata **BAILEY PINNEY PC** 3 Attorneys for Plaintiffs 4 5 Dated: July <u>9</u>, 2010 By (Jeffery M. Brinza 6 Secretary and General Counsel 7 Defendant, RGIS LLC (erroneously sued as RGIS Inventory Specialists, Inc.) 8 9 Dated: July ____, 2010 By_____ 10 Joel M. Cohn 11 AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP Attorneys for defendant, RGIS LLC 12 (erroneously sued as RGIS Inventory Specialists, Inc.) 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 32

Case3:06-cv-05778-JCS Document841-2 Filed07/28/10 Page29 of 30

- 11		ā iņ
		<i>y</i>
1	Dated: July, 2010	D-1
2		By
3		BAILEY PINNEY PC Attorneys for Plaintiffs
4		n e
5	Dated: July, 2010	By
6	-	Yeffery M. Brinza
7		Secretary and General Counsel Defendant, RGIS LLC (erroneously sued as RGIS Inventory Specialists, Inc.)
8		ROIS (Inventory Specialists, Inc.)
9	Dated: July 7, 2010	By SMIL Mr Colle
10 11	Dated: July, 2010	Mel M. Cohn
12		AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP Attorneys for defendant, RGIS LLC
13	ei	(erroneously sued as RGIS Inventory Specialists, Inc.)
14		
15		e
16		
17		
18		
19		3
20		
21		
22		
23		3
24		
25		4
26 27		B III
28		33
ب ن		STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT Case Now. 3:06-cv-05778 JCS & 3:07-ov-00032 JCS
	1	Amen Wind A. A. A. C. C. A. C. C. A. M. C. L. C.